China Internet

Cheap is acknowledged; urgency still needs proof

Based on our one-week long marketing meetings with US-based investors, we believe US investors remain engaged on China Internet, but the trip did not suggest a broad rotation back into the sector. The consistent message from meetings was that valuation is no longer the central debate. Most investors accept that the large-cap platforms are inexpensive. What they do not yet accept is that cheapness alone is enough to compete for capital against AI hardware, memory, semis, and private or newly listed AI assets where near-term earnings revisions or scarcity narratives remain cleaner.

- That leaves the sector in a selective, proof-driven regime, in our view. Potential marginal buyers are not asking whether China Internet can screen cheap. They are asking where the next observable catalyst is strong enough to change behavior. On this trip, the most actionable debates were Alibaba cloud margin, Tencent AI disclosure, Baidu's Kunlunxin and capital return path, Zhipu relative to MiniMax, and Kuaishou's value capture from Kling.

- Our takeaway is not to press broad sector beta. We would focus marketing and positioning around names where a dated catalyst or measurable proof point can do the work. Alibaba had the clearest tactical traction among the large platforms because cloud margin is a near-term reported variable. Baidu drew event-driven interest around Kunlunxin, capital return, and potential listing-related catalysts. The Zhipu over MiniMax relative preference resonated well, although the debate has shifted from model quality to commercial durability. Tencent remains highly respected but was rarely treated as urgent; investors want AI monetization KPIs before assigning more value to the option. Meituan was viewed as regulation-positive but not necessarily the cleanest expression of food-delivery rationalization, with JD repeatedly raised as an alternative revision vehicle. Kuaishou interest remained concentrated in Kling, with the main question being how much value ultimately accrues to the listed parent.

- We believe US investors are not dismissing China Internet. They are asking for proof before reallocating capital. The sector has investable assets, and valuation support is real. But the market's burden has moved from "is it cheap?" to "what changes revisions, AI monetization, or catalyst density soon enough to matter?"

- In that regime, we would not chase broad beta. We would lean into where proof is the closest: Alibaba cloud margin, Baidu's catalyst path, and the Zhipu over MiniMax relative preference. We would hold Tencent as patient core but not press the entry without AI KPIs. We would keep Meituan and Kuaishou catalyst-dependent, with JD remaining the cleaner food-delivery revision expression if rationalization shows up there first.

Head of China Equity Research and Co-Head of Asia TMT Research

Alex Yao AC
(86 21) 6106 6505
alex.yao@jpmorgan.com
SAC Registration Number: S1730523020001

Daniel Chen
(86-21) 6106 6205
daniel.q.chen@jpmorgan.com
SAC Registration Number: S1730521040001

Olivia Xu
(86-21) 6106 6138
olivia.w.xu@jpmorgan.com
SAC Registration Number: S1730525060001

Nancy Liu
(86 21) 6106 6343
nancy.liu@jpmorgan.com
SAC Registration Number: S1730524090001

J.P. Morgan Securities (China) Company Limited

The positioning regime: engaged, but still underweight in behavior

US-based investors are not rejecting China Internet. It is deferring the trade, in our view.

See page 7 for analyst certification and important disclosures, including non-US analyst disclosures.

Across the meetings, the broad pattern was consistent. Investors were familiar with the valuation argument and generally accepted it. The pushback was timing. For US allocators, the opportunity cost remains high: AI hardware, memory, semis, and private AI assets still offer cleaner near-term revision stories or scarcity value. Against this backdrop, China Internet is respected but not yet urgent.

In our view, the biggest challenge to China Internet is capital allocation. Investors can agree that Tencent, Alibaba, Baidu, Meituan, JD, Kuaishou, and select AI model labs have investable assets, while still choosing not to fund them today. The question that came back repeatedly was: what changes the near-term revision path, reported AI return profile, or catalyst density enough to pull money out of the existing AI hardware and scarcity trades?

This is why the trip supports a more selective framework. We would separate the sector into three groups:

1. **Names with near-term proof points that investors can underwrite:** Alibaba cloud margin, Baidu's Kunlunxin and capital return path, and the Zhipu over MiniMax relative debate.

2. **High-quality names that need disclosure before urgency returns:** Tencent is the clearest example.

3. **Names where the asset is interesting but the equity bridge remains unproven:** Meituan, Kuaishou, and to a lesser extent any broad beta expression without a catalyst.

We believe the feedback signal is the strongest at the sector level: cheapness is acknowledged, but opportunity cost is still winning. It is somewhat less precise at the name level, because individual investor preferences varied by mandate, holding period, and exposure to AI hardware. Still, the same proof burden repeated often enough to be useful.

What investors pressed on

The trip was not about whether China Internet looks cheap on screens. It was about whether reported proof can arrive quickly enough to compete with the current AI trade.

Four debates ran through the week.

First, what breaks the long hardware / underweight internet regime?

Investors recognize that AI hardware and memory are crowded. But most did not want to fade that trade without a trigger. A valuation gap by itself was not considered enough. A rotation would likely require either disappointment in hardware revisions or positive revision breadth in China Internet across multiple large caps

Second, can AI investment show up in reported numbers?

Investors were less interested in broad AI narratives than in reported evidence. For Tencent, the focus was Hunyuan, WeChat agents, WorkBuddy, cloud APIs, advertising monetization, and whether any of those surfaces can become visible in revenue or margin. For Alibaba, the debate was more concrete: whether cloud margin can expand as AI demand scales, and whether losses in consumer AI or local services offset the cloud benefit.

Third, does China's lower-cost AI path create durable economics?

Investors accepted that Chinese models may operate on a different cost curve. The question was whether that translates into commercial advantage, pricing power, and retention, or whether the model layer remains structurally competitive with limited rent capture. This was especially relevant to Zhipu and MiniMax.

Fourth, does food-delivery regulation reset economics or simply create a tradeable window?

Investors were broadly willing to treat the SAMR rules as regulation-positive for the sector. But they were more divided on equity expression. Meituan was not rejected, but several investors framed JD as the cleaner revision surprise if rationalization holds.

The common thread is that investors wanted proof with dates, not just frameworks. Cloud margin, AI KPIs, unit economics, listing events, lock-up clearance, capital return, and revenue disclosure all mattered more than broad sector valuation.

US versus Asia: same regime, different lens

Our Asia marketing ran from early April through late June, while the US feedback reflects one week of meetings from June 15–22. The two periods are not perfectly comparable. The tape, hardware trade, and several company-specific catalysts moved during the Asia window. We therefore read the comparison as directional rather than precise.

With that caveat, both cohorts broadly agreed on the central regime: China Internet is inexpensive, but opportunity cost against AI hardware, memory, domestic chips, and model labs remains high.

The difference was in how the debate was framed. Asia investors tended to spend more time inside the operating mechanics: Alibaba cloud margin, Tencent Hunyuan and agents, Baidu Kunlunxin, food-delivery anti-involution, and AI cloud returns. US investors were more direct about the allocation problem: why own China Internet now when the AI hardware and scarcity trades still have cleaner revisions?

That distinction matters. Asia could become the earlier marginal bid if reported proof lands first in Alibaba cloud, Tencent AI disclosure, Baidu's event path, or Kuaishou/Kling value discovery. But Asia may also simply be expressing the same caution with more name-level detail. We would not overstate a regional divergence. The real divide is not US versus Asia. It is proof versus narrative.

Feedback by name

Tencent: respected, but still waiting for AI disclosure

Tencent remains the highest-quality large-cap platform in the group, but respect did not convert into urgency on this trip.

We carry Overweight on Tencent based on compounding earnings, bounded AI investment risk, and a trough multiple. Investors generally accepted the quality argument. The pushback was not that Tencent lacks assets. It was that the AI revenue path still feels too long-dated relative to what investors can own elsewhere today. For many investors, Tencent is not being rejected; it is being deferred.

The key debate is whether Tencent is a long-duration AI winner or simply a high-quality funding source for more immediate AI hardware exposure. The Hy3 release helped narrow the execution discount, but the market still wants disclosure rather than narrative. Investors asked for evidence around Hunyuan at scale, WeChat agents, WorkBuddy, cloud APIs, and advertising monetization.

The implication for our call is clear. Tencent remains a patient core position, not a name we would press purely on valuation. To shift from respected compounder to urgent buy, Tencent needs AI KPIs that can be connected to reported revenue, margin, or engagement over the next few quarters. Without that, the AI option remains outside base earnings in investors' minds.

Alibaba: the strongest large-cap tactical set-up

Alibaba had the most tactical traction among the large platforms because the debate is tied to a dated and measurable proof point: cloud margin.

Investors were no longer debating whether Alibaba has an AI narrative. They were debating whether the cloud margin path is real, how quickly it can scale, and how much of the benefit leaks into consumer AI or local services losses. Our most recent review raised our 12-month conviction after external cloud growth near 40% year on year, the first MaaS ARR disclosure, and a more visible path for margin expansion.

The important nuance is that the cloud-margin thesis is no longer undiscovered. Many investors already understand the bull case: external cloud margin rising from the high-single-digit to low-double-digit range toward a higher long-term level. The investable edge is not in repeating that AI cloud is important. It is in assessing the slope of margin expansion and the degree of reinvestment leakage elsewhere.

That makes Alibaba the cleanest tactical large-cap expression of the current regime, in our view. The catalyst is measurable, near-term, and tied to reported numbers. If cloud margin expands sequentially while losses in local services and consumer AI remain controlled, Alibaba can shift from tactical AI beneficiary to a more durable compounder. If the margin path stalls or is offset by losses elsewhere, the current traction likely fades.

Baidu: catalyst-dense, but still more event path than platform call

Baidu drew event-driven interest because Kunlunxin and capital return provide a denser catalyst path than broad sector beta.

Our most recent published view frames cloud inference momentum and Kunlunxin demand as the swing variable. Investors were interested in several potential catalysts: Kunlunxin IPO progress, a Hong Kong primary listing, Stock Connect inclusion, the first dividend, and a possible special distribution. Those are concrete events, and they help Baidu stand out in a sector where many investors still ask what the near-term trigger is.

The pushback was durability. Investors questioned whether Baidu is an asset-monetization trade or a sustainable AI platform. Legacy search remains under pressure, capex is below the largest platforms, and communication has not always helped investors underwrite the transition.

In our view, Baidu's event path can work, but long-only sizing likely requires a clearer capital return framework, more confidence in parent earnings quality, and better evidence that AI cloud revenue is durable rather than episodic.

Meituan: regulation-positive, but not the cleanest expression

Meituan was viewed as a beneficiary of food-delivery rationalization, but the feedback did not support upgrading on regulation alone.

We are Neutral on Meituan with a fair value of HKD88. Investors generally accepted that SAMR subsidy rules are directionally positive for industry economics. The harder question was whether Meituan is the best way to express that view. Several investors asked whether the revision surprise is cleaner in JD.

For Meituan, the core debate remains normalized unit economics. Investors focused on the gap between management's roughly CNY1 per order ambition and bear cases closer to CNY0.20–0.30. The question is whether regulation protects Meituan's profit pool or exposes lower normalized economics once rational competitors regain share.

Our thesis continues to rest on durable unit economics and high-value order share. The trip reinforced our reluctance to upgrade on regulation alone. We need 2Q26 and 3Q26 to show that the improvement is owned rather than rented. If the sector rationalizes but JD captures the cleaner revision surprise, then JD may remain the better expression of the same theme.

JD: the unprompted alternative in food-delivery rationalization

JD was not a lead marketed name on this trip, but it repeatedly surfaced as the preferred alternative expression of the food-delivery rationalization trade.

That is important feedback. Where investors were cautious on upgrading Meituan, several framed JD as the cleaner revision vehicle. If the thesis is rationalization plus positive earnings surprise, JD may be perceived as having more upside to consensus and a more straightforward path to earnings revision.

We carry Overweight on JD with estimates above consensus, so this feedback aligns with our existing positioning. Because JD was not systematically tested across all meetings, we would treat the signal as medium confidence rather than definitive. But the fact that investors volunteered the comparison is meaningful. It raises the bar for Meituan: Meituan needs to win on its own unit economics, not simply benefit from the same regulatory tailwind that investors may prefer to express through JD.

Zhipu: preferred listed model-lab exposure, but the burden has moved to commercial proof

Zhipu was one of the most positively received relative calls on the trip. Investors generally preferred Zhipu to MiniMax as listed model-lab exposure, citing model quality, pricing power, capital access, and the fundraising flywheel.

Our long case on ship should not rest only on generic base-model leadership. It needs to rest on coding as a defended workflow vertical where Zhipu can show paid demand, pricing power, retention, and enterprise value capture.

That distinction matters because the model-lab scarcity premium may compress as more assets list or become available to public-market investors. Kimi, DeepSeek, and others may all affect how investors price scarcity. In that environment, benchmark progress is necessary but not sufficient. The commercial test is more important: can Zhipu defend economics inside the coding workflow, retain users, and capture enterprise value despite low switching costs?

The feedback supports the relative preference for Zhipu over MiniMax. It does not remove the need for commercial proof.

MiniMax: least well received; scarcity alone is not enough

MiniMax drew the most negative relative feedback among the names discussed.

Investors focused on the failed price increase, the pricing floor set by DeepSeek, Zhipu's capital advantage, and the lock-up that clears around July 8. The recurring question was whether scarcity can continue to support the stock if relative fundamentals remain weak.

We are cautious on names whose thesis depends mainly on base-model differentiation. MiniMax is the clearest case. The next model cycle, pricing power, customer retention, and technical selling pressure around the lock-up matter more than headline parameter counts. A clean lock-up clearance could support the stock tactically. But without evidence of pricing power and retention, scarcity is a fragile foundation.

Kuaishou: Kling is interesting; parent value capture is the debate

Kuaishou interest was concentrated almost entirely in Kling rather than the core business.

Investors cited a private valuation around US$16-18bn and a possible IPO valuation of US$25bn–30bn within six to twelve months. We treat those figures as investor-stated and unverified, not as our valuation target. The point is not the exact number. The point is that investors see Kling as a potential hidden asset, while remaining unsure how much value reaches Kuaishou shareholders.

The equity bridge is the entire debate, in our view. Investors need clarity on ownership, dilution, listing structure, holdco discount, revenue trajectory, model quality, and the degree to which any Kling value can offset pressure in the core short-video business. Without that bridge, Kling remains an interesting asset rather than a clean equity thesis.

Where the trip sharpened our thesis

The trip did not change the sector call. It sharpened where we should demand proof.

For the sector, broad cheapness is not enough. China Internet needs catalyst density, revision stabil
AI payback to compete for capital. The feedback argues against chasing broad beta without proof.



For Tencent, the call stands, but the burden shifts further toward AI KPIs and disclosure. Tencent remains a patient core Overweight rather than a valuation-led urgency call.

For Alibaba, the feedback strengthens the tactical case. Cloud margin is the cleanest large-cap proof point, and this is where reported traction can most directly change positioning.

For Baidu, the event path is more compelling than the platform narrative. Kunlunxin and capital return can work, but sustainable rerating needs parent earnings and AI cloud quality.

For Meituan, the trip reinforces our Neutral stance. Regulation is supportive, but the market wants unit economics proof. JD remains a cleaner expression if rationalization shows up first in revisions.

For Zhipu and MiniMax, the relative call strengthened. Zhipu is preferred, but the long thesis has to be defended by workflow economics. MiniMax needs more than scarcity to stabilize investor confidence.

For Kuaishou, the debate moves from “is Kling valuable?” to “how much of Kling’s value belongs to Kuaishou shareholders?”

What would change behavior?

The next test is not whether investors continue to agree that China Internet is cheap. The test is whether dated catalysts change allocation behavior.

Sector and flow indicators

By the end of 3Q26, we would want to see positive EPS revision breadth across at least two large-cap China Internet names. If revisions turn, the funding-source discount should begin to compress. If they do not, we would keep broad beta light and remain name-specific.

We would also watch whether AI hardware, memory, and semi’s earnings disappoint enough to force rotation. A genuine disappointment in the current AI hardware trade remains the cleanest external catalyst for China Internet to stop being treated as a funding source. Without that, China Internet needs to earn allocation through name-specific proof.

Companies Discussed in This Report (all prices in this report as of market close on 30 June 2026, unless otherwise indicated)
Alibaba Group Holding Limited(9988.HK/HK$92.85/OW), Alibaba Group Holding Limited (BABA)(BABA/$95.98/OW), Baidu.com(9888.HK/HK$109.60/OW), Baidu.com (BIDU)(BIDU/$114.29/OW), Kuaishou Technology(1024.HK/HK$41.60/OW), Meituan (3690)(3690.HK/HK$68.50/N), MiniMax Group Inc - H(0100.HK/HK$417.00/N), Tencent (0700)(0700.HK/HK$429.80/OW), Zhipu AI(2513.HK/HK$2104.00/OW)

Analyst Certification: The Research Analyst(s) denoted by an "AC" on the cover of this report certifies (or, where multiple Research Analysts are primarily responsible for this report, the Research Analyst denoted by an "AC" on the cover or within the document individually certifies, with respect to each security or issuer that the Research Analyst covers in this research) that: (1) all of the views expressed in this report accurately reflect the Research Analyst's personal views about any and all of the subject securities or issuers; and (2) no part of any of the Research Analyst's compensation was, is, or will be directly or indirectly related to the specific recommendations or views expressed by the Research Analyst(s) in this report. For all Korea-based Research Analysts listed on the front cover, if applicable, they also certify, as per KOFIA requirements, that the Research Analyst's analysis was made in good faith and that the views reflect the Research Analyst's own opinion, without undue influence or intervention.

All authors named within this report are Research Analysts who produce independent research unless otherwise specified. In Europe, Sector Specialists (Sales and Trading) may be shown on this report as contacts but are not authors of the report or part of the Research Department.

Important Disclosures

Company-Specific Disclosures: J.P. Morgan does and seeks to do business with companies covered in its research reports. As a result, investors should be aware that the firm may have a conflict of interest that could affect the objectivity of this report. Investors should consider this report as only a single factor in making their investment decision. Important disclosures, including price charts and credit opinion history tables (if applicable), are available for compendium reports and all J.P. Morgan–covered companies, and certain non-covered companies, by visiting https://www.jpmm.com/research/disclosures, calling 1-800-477-0406, or e-mailing research.disclosure.inquiries@jpmorgan.com with your request.

Explanation of Equity Research Ratings, Designations and Analyst(s) Coverage Universe:
J.P. Morgan uses the following rating system: Overweight (over the duration of the price target indicated in this report, we expect this stock will outperform the average total return of the stocks in the Research Analyst's, or the Research Analyst's team's, coverage universe); Neutral (over the duration of the price target indicated in this report, we expect this stock will perform in line with the average total return of the stocks in the Research Analyst's, or the Research Analyst's team's, coverage universe); and Underweight (over the duration of the price target indicated in this report, we expect this stock will underperform the average total return of the stocks in the Research Analyst's, or the Research Analyst's team's, coverage universe. NR is Not Rated. In this case, J.P. Morgan has removed the rating and, if applicable, the price target, for this stock because of either a lack of a sufficient fundamental basis or for legal, regulatory or policy reasons. The previous rating and, iapplicable, the price target, no longer should be relied upon. An NR designation is not a recommendation or a rating. Some stocks under coverage have a rating but no price target; in these cases, we expect the stock will outperform/perform in line/underperform the average total return of the stocks in the Research Analyst's, or the Research Analyst's team's, coverage universe of the relevant duration of the region. In our Asia (ex-Australia and ex-India) and U.K. small- and mid-cap Equity Research, each stock's expected total return is compared to the expected total return of a benchmark country market index, not to those Research Analysts' coverage universe. If it does not appear in the Important Disclosures section of this report, the certifying Research Analyst's coverage universe can be found on J.P. Morgan's Research website, https://www.jpmorganmarkets.com.

Coverage Universe: Yao, Alex : Alibaba Group Holding Limited (9988.HK), Alibaba Group Holding Limited (BABA) (BABA), Alibaba Health (0241) (0241.HK), Autohome Inc (ATHM), Autohome Inc - H (2518.HK), Baidu.com (9888.HK), Baidu.com (BIDU) (BIDU), Damai Entertainment (1060.HK), DiDi Global Inc. (DIDIY), JD.Com, Inc. (9618) (9618.HK), JD.com, Inc. (JD), Kingsoft Cloud (KC), Kingsoft Cloud Holdings Ltd - H (3896.HK), Lianlian DigiTech - H (2598.HK), Maoyan Entertainment (1896.HK), Meituan (3690) (3690.HK), PDD HOLDINGS INC (PDD), Ping An Healthcare and Technology (1833) (1833.HK), Tencent (0700) (0700.HK), Tencent Music Entertainment (TME), Tencent Music Entertainment - H (1698.HK), Trip.com Group Ltd (TCOM), Trip.com Group Ltd - H (9961.HK), Vipshop (VIPS), WeRide - ADR (WRD), Weibo Corporation (WB), Weibo Corporation - H (9898.HK)

J.P. Morgan Equity Research Ratings Distribution, as of April 04, 2026

	Overweight (buy)	Neutral (hold)	Underweight (sell)
J.P. Morgan Global Equity Research Coverage*	51%	37%	12%
IB clients**	83%	79%	74%
JPMS Equity Research Coverage*	49%	39%	13%
IB clients**	94%	93%	85%

*Please note that the percentages may not add to 100% because of rounding.
**Percentage of subject companies within each of the "buy," "hold" and "sell" categories for which J.P. Morgan has provided investment banking services within the previous 12 months.
For purposes of FINRA ratings distribution rules only, our Overweight rating falls into a buy rating category; our Neutral rating falls into a hold rating category; and our Underweight rating falls into a sell rating category. Please note that stocks with an NR designation are not included in the table above. This information is current as of the end of the most recent calendar quarter.

Equity Valuation and Risks: For valuation methodology and risks associated with covered companies or price targets for covered companies, please see the most recent company-specific research report at http://www.jpmorganmarkets.com, contact the primary analyst or your J.P. Morgan representative, or email research.disclosure.inquiries@jpmorgan.com. For material information about the proprietary models used, please see the Summary of Financials in company-specific research reports and the Company Tearsheets, which are available to download on the company pages of our client website, http://www.jpmorganmarkets.com. This report also sets out within it the material underlying assumptions used.

History of Investment Recommendations:
A history of J.P. Morgan investment recommendations disseminated during the preceding 12 months can be accessed on the Research & Commentary page of http://www.jpmorganmarkets.com where you can also search by analyst name, sector or financial instrument.

Analysts' Compensation: The research analysts responsible for the preparation of this report receive compensation based upon various factors, including the quality and accuracy of research, client feedback, competitive factors, and overall firm revenues.

Registration of non-US Analysts: Unless otherwise noted, the non-US analysts listed on the front of this report are employees of non-US affiliates of J.P. Morgan Securities LLC, may not be registered as research analysts under FINRA rules, may not be associated persons of J.P. Morgan Securities LLC, and may not be subject to FINRA Rule 2241 or 2242 restrictions on communications with covered companies, public appearances, and trading securities held by a research analyst account.

Other Disclosures

J.P. Morgan is a marketing name for investment banking businesses of JPMorgan Chase & Co. and its subsidiaries and affiliates worldwide.

UK MIFID FICC research unbundling exemption: UK clients should refer to UK MIFID Research Unbundling exemption for details of J.P. Morgan's implementation of the FICC research exemption and guidance on relevant FICC research categorisation.

All research material made available to clients are simultaneously available on our client website, J.P. Morgan Markets, unless specifically permitted by relevant laws. Not all research content is redistributed, e-mailed or made available to third-party aggregators. For all research material available on a particular stock, please contact your sales representative.

Any long form nomenclature for references to China; Hong Kong; Taiwan; and Macau within this research material are Mainland China; Hong Kong SAR (China); Taiwan (China); and Macau SAR (China).

J.P. Morgan Research may, from time to time, write on issuers or securities targeted by economic or financial sanctions imposed or administered by the governmental authorities of the U.S., EU, UK or other relevant jurisdictions (Sanctioned Securities). Nothing in this report is intended to be read or construed as encouraging, facilitating, promoting or otherwise approving investment or dealing in such Sanctioned Securities. Clients should be aware of their own legal and compliance obligations when making investment decisions.

Any digital or crypto assets discussed in this research report are subject to a rapidly changing regulatory landscape. For relevant regulatory advisories on crypto assets, including bitcoin and ether, please see https://www.jpmorgan.com/disclosures/cryptoasset-disclosure.

The author(s) of this research report may not be licensed to carry on regulated activities in your jurisdiction and, if not licensed, do not hold themselves out as being able to do so.

Exchange-Traded Funds (ETFs): J.P. Morgan Securities LLC ("JPMS") acts as authorized participant for substantially all U.S.-listed ETFs. To the extent that any ETFs are mentioned in this report, JPMS may earn commissions and transaction-based compensation in connection with the distribution of those ETF shares and may earn fees for performing other trade-related services, such as securities lending to short sellers of the ETF shares. JPMS may also perform services for the ETFs themselves, including acting as a broker or dealer to the ETFs. In addition, affiliates of JPMS may perform services for the ETFs, including trust, custodial, administration, lending, index calculation and/or maintenance and other services.

Options and Futures related research: If the information contained herein regards options- or futures-related research, such information is available only to persons who have received the proper options or futures risk disclosure documents. Please contact your J.P. Morgan Representative or visit https://www.theocc.com/components/docs/riskstoc.pdf for a copy of the Option Clearing Corporation's Characteristics and Risks of Standardized Options or https://www.finra.org/sites/default/files/2020-08/Security_Futures_Risk_Disclosure_Statement_2020.pdf for a copy of the Security Futures Risk Disclosure Statement.

Changes to Interbank Offered Rates (IBORs) and other benchmark rates: Certain interest rate benchmarks are, or may in the future become, subject to ongoing international, national and other regulatory guidance, reform and proposals for reform. For more information, please consult: https://www.jpmorgan.com/global/disclosures/interbank_offered_rates

Private Bank Clients: Where you are receiving research as a client of the private banking businesses offered by JPMorgan Chase & Co. and its subsidiaries ("J.P. Morgan Private Bank"), research is provided to you by J.P. Morgan Private Bank and not by any other division of J.P. Morgan, including, but not limited to, the J.P. Morgan Corporate and Investment Bank and its Global Research division.

Legal entity responsible for the production and distribution of research: The legal entity identified below the name of the Reg AC Research Analyst who authored this material is the legal entity responsible for the production of this research. Where multiple Reg AC Research Analysts authored this material with different legal entities identified below their names, these legal entities are jointly responsible for the production of this research. Where more than one legal entity is listed under an analyst's name, the first legal entity is responsible for the production unless stated otherwise. Research Analysts from various J.P. Morgan affiliates may have contributed to the production of this material but may not be licensed to carry out regulated activities in your jurisdiction (and do not hold themselves out as being able to do so). Unless otherwise stated below in the legal entity disclosures, this material has been distributed by the legal entity responsible for production, or where more than one legal entity is listed under the analyst's name, the first legal entity will be responsible for distribution. If you have any queries, please contact the relevant Research Analyst in your jurisdiction or the entity in your jurisdiction that has distributed this research material.

Legal Entities Disclosures and Country-/Region-Specific Disclosures:
Argentina: JPMorgan Chase Bank N.A Sucursal Buenos Aires is regulated by Banco Central de la República Argentina ("BCRA"- Central Bank of Argentina) and Comisión Nacional de Valores ("CNV"- Argentinian Securities Commission - ALYC y AN Integral N°51).
Australia: J.P. Morgan Securities Australia Limited ("JPMSAL") (ABN 61 003 245 234/AFS Licence No: 238066) is regulated by the Australian Securities and Investments Commission and is a Market Participant of ASX Limited, a Clearing and Settlement Participant of ASX Clear Pty Limited and a Clearing Participant of ASX Clear (Futures) Pty Limited. This material is issued and distributed in Australia by or on behalf of JPMSAL only to "wholesale clients" (as defined in section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001). A list of all financial products covered can be found by visiting https://www.jpmm.com/research/disclosures. J.P. Morgan seeks to cover companies of relevance to the domestic and international investor base across all Global Industry Classification Standard (GICS) sectors, as well as across a range of market capitalisation sizes. If applicable, in the course of conducting public side due diligence on the subject company(ies), the Research Analyst team may at times perform such diligence through corporate engagements such as site visits, discussions with company representatives, management presentations, etc. Research issued by JPMSAL has been prepared in accordance with J.P. Morgan Australia's Research Independence Policy which can be found at the following link: J.P. Morgan Australia - Research Independence Policy.
Brazil: Banco J.P. Morgan S.A. is regulated by the Comissao de Valores Mobiliarios (CVM) and by the Central Bank of Brazil. Ombudsman J.P. Morgan: 0800-7700847 / 0800-7700810 (For Hearing Impaired) / ouvidoria.jp.morgan@jpmchase.com.
Canada: J.P. Morgan Securities Canada Inc. is a registered investment dealer, regulated by the Canadian Investment Regulatory Organization and the Ontario Securities Commission and is the participating member on Canadian exchanges. This material is distributed in Canada by or on behalf of J.P.Morgan Securities Canada Inc.
Chile: Inversiones J.P. Morgan Limitada is an unregulated entity incorporated in Chile.
China: J.P. Morgan Securities (China) Company Limited has been approved by CSRC to conduct the securities investment consultancy business.
Colombia: Banco J.P. Morgan Colombia S.A. is supervised by the Superintendencia Financiera de Colombia (SFC). Any reference in this material to products or services offered abroad by entities other than the Bank in Colombia is included exclusively for descriptive purposes. Such references do not constitute, and should not be construed as, promotional activity or the provision of financial products or services within Colombian territory, as defined under applicable Colombian regulation.

Dubai International Financial Centre (DIFC): JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., Dubai Branch is regulated by the Dubai Financial Services Authority (DFSA) and its registered address is Dubai International Financial Centre - The Gate, West Wing, Level 3 and 9 PO Box 506551, Dubai, UAE. This material has been distributed by JP Morgan Chase Bank, N.A., Dubai Branch to persons regarded as professional clients or market counterparties as defined under the DFSA rules.
European Economic Area (EEA): Unless specified to the contrary, research is distributed in the EEA by J.P. Morgan SE ("JPM SE"), which is authorised as a credit institution by the Federal Financial Supervisory Authority (Bundesanstalt für Finanzdienstleistungsaufsicht, BaFin) and jointly supervised by the BaFin, the German Central Bank (Deutsche Bundesbank) and the European Central Bank (ECB). JPM SE is a company headquartered in Frankfurt with registered address at TaunusTurm, Taunustor 1, Frankfurt am Main, 60310, Germany. The material has been distributed in the EEA to persons regarded as professional investors (or equivalent) pursuant to Art. 4 para. 1 no. 10 and Annex II of MiFID II and its respective implementation in their home jurisdictions ("EEA professional investors"). This material must not be acted on or relied on by persons who are not EEA professional investors. Any investment or investment activity to which this material relates is only available to EEA relevant persons and will be engaged in only with EEA relevant persons.
Hong Kong: J.P. Morgan Securities (Asia Pacific) Limited (CE number AAJ321) is regulated by the Hong Kong Monetary Authority and the Securities and Futures Commission in Hong Kong, and J.P. Morgan Broking (Hong Kong) Limited (CE number AAB027) is regulated by the Securities and Futures Commission in Hong Kong. JP Morgan Chase Bank, N.A., Hong Kong Branch (CE Number AAL996) is regulated by the Hong Kong Monetary Authority and the Securities and Futures Commission, is organized under the laws of the United States with limited liability. Where the distribution of this material is a regulated activity in Hong Kong, the material is distributed in Hong Kong by or through J.P. Morgan Securities (Asia Pacific) Limited and/or J.P. Morgan Broking (Hong Kong) Limited.
India: J.P. Morgan India Private Limited (Corporate Identity Number - U67120MH1992FTC068724), having its registered office at J.P. Morgan Tower, Off. C.S.T. Road, Kalina, Santacruz - East, Mumbai – 400098, is registered with the Securities and Exchange Board of India (SEBI) as a 'Research Analyst' having registration number INH000001873. J.P. Morgan India Private Limited is also registered with SEBI as a member of the National Stock Exchange of India Limited and the Bombay Stock Exchange Limited (SEBI Registration Number – INZ000239730) and as a Merchant Banker (SEBI Registration Number - MB/INM000002970). Telephone: 91-22-6157 3000, Facsimile: 91-22-6157 3990 and Website: http://www.jpmipl.com. JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. - Mumbai Branch is licensed by the Reserve Bank of India (RBI) (Licence No. 53/ Licence No. BY.4/94; SEBI - IN/CUS/014/ CDSL : IN-DP-CDSL-444-2008/ IN-DP-NSDL-285-2008/ INBI00000984/ INE231311239) as a Scheduled Commercial Bank in India, which is its primary license allowing it to carry on Banking business in India and other activities, which a Bank branch in India are permitted to undertake. For non-local research material, this material is not distributed in India by J.P. Morgan India Private Limited. Compliance Officer: Ashutosh Sharma; ashutosh.j.sharma@jpmchase.com; +912261575002. Grievance Officer: Ramprasadh K, jpmipl.research.feedback@jpmorgan.com; +912261573000. Registration granted by SEBI and certification from NISM in no way guarantee performance of the intermediary or provide any assurance of returns to investors. Please visit Terms and Conditions and Most Important Terms and Conditions (MITC). The annual Compliance audit report is available at http://www.jpmipl.com/#research.
Indonesia: PT J.P. Morgan Sekuritas Indonesia is a member of the Indonesia Stock Exchange and is registered and supervised by the Otoritas Jasa Keuangan (OJK).
Korea: J.P. Morgan Securities (Far East) Limited, Seoul Branch, is a member of the Korea Exchange (KRX). JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., Seoul Branch, is licensed as a branch office of foreign bank (JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.) in Korea. Both entities are regulated by the Financial Services Commission (FSC) and the Financial Supervisory Service (FSS). For non-macro research material, the material is distributed in Korea by or through J.P. Morgan Securities (Far East) Limited, Seoul Branch.
Japan: JPMorgan Securities Japan Co., Ltd. and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., Tokyo Branch are regulated by the Financial Services Agency in Japan.
Malaysia: This material is issued and distributed in Malaysia by JPMorgan Securities (Malaysia) Sdn Bhd (18146-X), which is a Participating Organization of Bursa Malaysia Berhad and holds a Capital Markets Services License issued by the Securities Commission in Malaysia.
Mexico: J.P. Morgan Casa de Bolsa, S.A. de C.V., J.P. Morgan Grupo Financiero is member of the Mexican Stock Exchange ("Bolsa Mexicana de Valores") and the Institutional Stock Exchange ("Bolsa Institucional de Valores"), and it is authorized to act as a broker dealer by the National Banking and Securities Exchange Commission ("Comisión Nacional Bancaria y de Valores").
New Zealand: This material is issued and distributed by JPMSAL in New Zealand only to "wholesale clients" (as defined in the Financial Markets Conduct Act 2013). JPMSAL is registered as a Financial Service Provider under the Financial Service providers (Registration and Dispute Resolution) Act of 2008.
Philippines: J.P. Morgan Securities Philippines Inc. is a Trading Participant of the Philippine Stock Exchange and a member of the Securities Clearing Corporation of the Philippines and the Securities Investor Protection Fund. It is regulated by the Securities and Exchange Commission.
Singapore: This material is issued and distributed in Singapore by or through J.P. Morgan Securities Singapore Private Limited (JPMSS) [MDDI (P) 057/08/2025 and Co. Reg. No.: 199405335R], which is a member of the Singapore Exchange Securities Trading Limited, and/or JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., Singapore branch (JPMCB Singapore), both of which are regulated by the Monetary Authority of Singapore. This material is issued and distributed in Singapore only to accredited investors, expert investors and institutional investors, as defined in Section 4A of the Securities and Futures Act, Cap. 289 (SFA). This material is not intended to be issued or distributed to any retail investors or any other investors that do not fall into the classes of "accredited investors," "expert investors" or "institutional

investors," as defined under Section 4A of the SFA. Recipients of this material in Singapore are to contact JPMSS or JPMCB Singapore in respect of any matters arising from, or in connection with, the material.
South Africa: J.P. Morgan Equities South Africa Proprietary Limited and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., Johannesburg Branch are members of the Johannesburg Securities Exchange and are regulated by the Financial Services Conduct Authority (FSCA).
Taiwan: J.P. Morgan Securities (Taiwan) Limited is a participant of the Taiwan Stock Exchange (company-type) and regulated by the Taiwan Securities and Futures Bureau. Material relating to equity securities is issued and distributed in Taiwan by J.P. Morgan Securities (Taiwan) Limited, subject to the license scope and the applicable laws and the regulations in Taiwan. **To the extent that J.P. Morgan Securities (Taiwan) Limited produces research materials on securities not listed on the Taiwan Stock Exchange or Taipei Exchange ("Non-Taiwan Listed Securities"), these materials shall not constitute securities recommendations for the purpose of applicable Taiwan regulations, and, for the avoidance of doubt, J.P. Morgan Securities (Taiwan) Limited does not act as broker for Non-Taiwan Listed Securities.** According to Paragraph 2, Article 7-1 of Operational Regulations Governing Securities Firms Recommending Trades in Securities to Customers (as amended or supplemented) and/or other applicable laws or regulations, please note that the recipient of this material is not permitted to engage in any activities in connection with the material that may give rise to conflicts of interests, unless otherwise disclosed in the "Important Disclosures" in this material.
Thailand: This material is issued and distributed in Thailand by JPMorgan Securities (Thailand) Ltd., which is a member of the Stock Exchange of Thailand and is regulated by the Ministry of Finance and the Securities and Exchange Commission. The registered address is 548 One City Center Building, 50th Floor, Ploenchit Road, Lumphini, Pathum Wan, Bangkok 10330.
UK: Research is produced in the UK by J.P. Morgan Securities plc ("JPMS plc") which is a member of the London Stock Exchange and is authorised by the Prudential Regulation Authority and regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority and the Prudential Regulation Authority or J.P. Morgan Markets Limited ("JPMML Ltd") which is authorised and regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority. Unless specified to the contrary, this material is distributed in the UK by JPMS plc and is directed in the UK only to: (a) persons having professional experience in matters relating to investments falling within article 19(5) of the Financial Services and Markets Act 2000 (Financial Promotion) (Order) 2005 ("the FPO"); (b) persons outlined in article 49 of the FPO (high net worth companies, unincorporated associations or partnerships, the trustees of high value trusts, etc.); or (c) any persons to whom this communication may otherwise lawfully be made; all such persons being referred to as "UK relevant persons". This material must not be acted on or relied on by persons who are not UK relevant persons. Any investment or investment activity to which this material relates is only available to UK relevant persons and will be engaged in only with UK relevant persons. A description of J.P. Morgan EMEA's policy for prevention and avoidance of conflicts of interest related to the production of Research can be found at the following link: J.P. Morgan EMEA - Research Independence Policy.
U.S.: J.P. Morgan Securities LLC ("JPMS") is a member of the NYSE, FINRA, SIPC, and the NFA. JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. is a member of the FDIC. Material published by non-U.S. affiliates is distributed in the U.S. by JPMS who accepts responsibility for its content.

General: Additional information is available upon request. The information in this material has been obtained from sources believed to be reliable. While all reasonable care has been taken to ensure that the facts stated in this material are accurate and that the forecasts, opinions and expectations contained herein are fair and reasonable, JPMorgan Chase & Co. or its affiliates and/or subsidiaries (collectively J.P. Morgan) make no representations or warranties whatsoever to the completeness or accuracy of the material provided, except with respect to any disclosures relative to J.P. Morgan and the Research Analyst's involvement with the issuer that is the subject of the material. Accordingly, no reliance should be placed on the accuracy, fairness or completeness of the information contained in this material. There may be certain discrepancies with data and/or limited content in this material as a result of calculations, adjustments, translations to different languages, and/or local regulatory restrictions, as applicable. These discrepancies should not impact the overall investment analysis, views and/or recommendations of the subject company(ies) that may be discussed in the material. Artificial intelligence tools may have been used in the preparation of this material, including assisting in data analysis, pattern recognition, and content drafting for research material. J.P. Morgan accepts no liability whatsoever for any loss arising from any use of this material or its contents, and neither J.P. Morgan nor any of its respective directors, officers or employees, shall be in any way responsible for the contents hereof, apart from the liabilities and responsibilities that may be imposed on them by the relevant regulatory authority in the jurisdiction in question, or the regulatory regime thereunder. Opinions, forecasts or projections contained in this material represent J.P. Morgan's current opinions or judgment as of the date of the material only and are therefore subject to change without notice. Periodic updates may be provided on companies/industries based on company-specific developments or announcements, market conditions or any other publicly available information. There can be no assurance that future results or events will be consistent with any such opinions, forecasts or projections, which represent only one possible outcome. Furthermore, such opinions, forecasts or projections are subject to certain risks, uncertainties and assumptions that have not been verified, and future actual results or events could differ materially. The value of, or income from, any investments referred to in this material may fluctuate and/or be affected by changes in exchange rates. All pricing is indicative as of the close of market for the securities discussed, unless otherwise stated. Past performance is not indicative of future results. Accordingly, investors may receive back less than originally invested. This material is not intended as an offer or solicitation for the purchase or sale of any financial instrument. The opinions and recommendations herein do not take into account individual client circumstances, objectives, or needs and are not intended as recommendations of particular securities, financial instruments or strategies to particular clients. This material may include views on structured securities, options, futures and other derivatives. These are complex instruments, may involve a high degree of risk and may be appropriate investments only for sophisticated investors who are capable of understanding and assuming the risks involved. The recipients of this material must make their own

independent decisions regarding any securities or financial instruments mentioned herein and should seek advice from such independent financial, legal, tax or other adviser as they deem necessary. J.P. Morgan may trade as a principal on the basis of the Research Analysts' views and research, and it may also engage in transactions for its own account or for its clients' accounts in a manner inconsistent with the views taken in this material, and J.P. Morgan is under no obligation to ensure that such other communication is brought to the attention of any recipient of this material. Others within J.P. Morgan, including Strategists, Sales staff and other Research Analysts, may take views that are inconsistent with those taken in this material. Employees of J.P. Morgan not involved in the preparation of this material may have investments in the securities (or derivatives of such securities) mentioned in this material and may trade them in ways different from those discussed in this material. This material is not an advertisement for or marketing of any issuer, its products or services, or its securities in any jurisdiction.

Confidentiality and Security Notice: This transmission may contain information that is privileged, confidential, legally privileged, and/or exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution, or use of the information contained herein (including any reliance thereon) is STRICTLY PROHIBITED. Although this transmission and any attachments are believed to be free of any virus or other defect that might affect any computer system into which it is received and opened, it is the responsibility of the recipient to ensure that it is virus free and no responsibility is accepted by JPMorgan Chase & Co., its subsidiaries and affiliates, as applicable, for any loss or damage arising in any way from its use. If you received this transmission in error, please immediately contact the sender and destroy the material in its entirety, whether in electronic or hard copy format. This message is subject to electronic monitoring: https://www.jpmorgan.com/disclosures/email

MSCI: Certain information herein ("Information") is reproduced by permission of MSCI Inc., its affiliates and information providers ("MSCI") ©2026. No reproduction or dissemination of the Information is permitted without an appropriate license. MSCI MAKES NO EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES (INCLUDING MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS) AS TO THE INFORMATION AND DISCLAIMS ALL LIABILITY TO THE EXTENT PERMITTED BY LAW. No Information constitutes investment advice, except for any applicable Information from MSCI ESG Research. Subject also to msci.com/disclaimer

Sustainalytics: Certain information, data, analyses and opinions contained herein are reproduced by permission of Sustainalytics and: (1) includes the proprietary information of Sustainalytics; (2) may not be copied or redistributed except as specifically authorized; (3) do not constitute investment advice nor an endorsement of any product or project; (4) are provided solely for informational purposes; and (5) are not warranted to be complete, accurate or timely. Sustainalytics is not responsible for any trading decisions, damages or other losses related to it or its use. The use of the data is subject to conditions available at https://www.sustainalytics.com/legal-disclaimers. ©2026 Sustainalytics. All Rights Reserved.

"Other Disclosures" last revised May 16, 2026.

Copyright 2026 J.P. Morgan Securities (China) Company Limited. All rights reserved. This material or any portion hereof may not be reprinted, sold or redistributed without the written consent of J.P. Morgan. It is strictly prohibited to use or share without prior written consent from J.P. Morgan any research material received from J.P. Morgan or an authorized third-party ("J.P. Morgan Data") in any third-party artificial intelligence ("AI") systems or models when such J.P. Morgan Data is accessible by a third-party.